HY-WP-001
Rev. A — August 2026
Classification: Public

The 2026 BESS Factory Planning Whitepaper

A comprehensive engineering guide for planning, specifying, and commissioning a utility-scale battery energy storage system assembly factory — from capacity sizing to first production PACK.

7	6–18	1–5	9
Critical Decisions	Months: Order to Production	GWh/year Capacity Range	Process Stations

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary

Planning a BESS assembly factory requires seven sequential decisions: capacity sizing, cell format selection, line configuration, quality system, MES architecture, building infrastructure, and commissioning contract structure. Get any one wrong and it delays first production by 3–6 months.

This whitepaper covers each decision with engineering-level specificity, drawn from 30+ BESS factory projects across Europe, North America, and Asia between 2023 and 2026. It is written for engineering directors, factory planners, and procurement teams who need to convert a GWh target into a production-ready facility.

> **KEY FINDING**
> The most common planning mistake is using nameplate throughput without OEE discount. A 5 PACK/hr line at 75% OEE delivers 3.75 effective PACK/hr. Plan for actual OEE at 12 months post-commissioning (typically 70–80%), not peak rate.

2. Decision 1: Capacity Sizing — How Many GWh?

One line produces 1–3 GWh/year depending on cell format, shift pattern, and module design. The first calculation is always the same: convert your annual GWh target into a per-hour PACK rate, then verify against achievable OEE.

Annual GWh Calculation

$$GWh/yr = (PACK\ kWh) \times (PACKs/hr) \times (hrs/yr) \times OEE \div 1{,}000{,}000$$

Variable	Formula / Typical Value	Notes
PACK energy (kWh)	Cell Ah × cell V × cells/PACK	280Ah × 3.2V × ~104 cells = ~93 kWh
PACKs/hour	Line throughput (nameplate)	3–8 PACK/hr for ESS large format
Production hours/year	hrs/shift × shifts/day × days/year	8 × 2 × 300 = 4,800 hrs/yr typical
Gross GWh/year	PACK kWh × PACKs/hr × hrs/yr ÷ 1000	93 × 5 × 4,800 ÷ 1000 = 2.2 GWh
Net GWh/year	Gross × OEE (target 75–80%)	2.2 × 0.75 = 1.65 GWh usable

Capacity Planning Matrix

Annual Target	Cell Format	Lines Required	Capacity Buffer
1 GWh/yr	280Ah	1 line	65% (significant headroom)
2 GWh/yr	280Ah	1–2 lines	Phase 2 expansion recommended
3 GWh/yr	314Ah	2 lines	~20% buffer at 2 lines
5 GWh/yr	587Ah	3 lines	~15% buffer

3. Decision 2: Cell Format Selection — 280Ah vs 314Ah vs 587Ah

For a new BESS factory in 2026: specify 280Ah or 314Ah as primary, with servo fixtures for multi-source compatibility. 587Ah is gaining share but cell supply chain is less diversified. Don't lock into a single supplier's cell without fixture flexibility.

Format	Dimensions (mm)	Capacity	Supply Chain	Recommended For
280Ah	173 × 207 × 71	280Ah	✅ Most diversified	New factories, multi-supplier strategy
314Ah	173 × 207 × 71–74	314Ah	✅ Growing	Higher energy density, same fixture range
587Ah	207 × 174 × 71	587Ah	⚠️ Fewer suppliers	Max energy density, premium application

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION

VDA-format prismatic cells follow dimensional standards set by Verband der Automobilindustrie. All major ESS cell suppliers (CATL, EVE, REPT, CALB) produce cells nominally conforming to VDA dimensions, enabling multi-source procurement on a single assembly line. Design fixtures for VDA compatibility from day one.

4. Decision 3: Line Configuration — The 9 Station Architecture

A complete BESS PACK assembly line has 9 process stations from cell incoming to labeled finished PACK. The five non-negotiable stations for 2026 are: servo stacking, laser busbar welding with inline CCD monitoring, closed-loop TIM dispensing, integrated EOL testing, and MES-connected serial binding.

#	Station	Function	Key Spec
1	**Cell incoming inspection**	OCV/IR measurement, dimension check, visual inspection	OCV ±1 mV, IR ±0.01 mΩ
2	**Blue film wrapping**	Insulation film application, terminal clearance verification	12–20 PPM, inline vision
3	**Laser marking**	DMC traceability code, MES data binding	UV 355 nm preferred, grade ≥ B
4	**Servo stacking**	Cell stacking with polarity orientation, TIM insertion	±0.2 mm repeatability
5	**Busbar laser welding**	Fiber laser welding with inline weld monitoring	3–6 kW, ±0.02 mm positioning
6	**TIM dispensing**	Thermal interface material application	±3% volume accuracy
7	**Module compression & binding**	End plate assembly, steel band binding	15–35 kN, ±5% tension
8	**EOL testing**	OCV, insulation, Hi-Pot, DCIR, BMS comm, air tightness	6 tests, 275–345 s total
9	**Pack assembly & labeling**	Module-to-pack integration, BMS install, final label	MES serial binding

Fixed-Format vs Mixed-Flow Line

Factor	Fixed-Format Line	Mixed-Flow Line
Cell format flexibility	Single format	3–5 formats on one line
Upfront cost	Lower	15–30% higher
Commissioning time	Shorter	Longer (+4–6 weeks typical)
Recommended for	Confirmed product roadmap	Evolving format, multi-product
Line change time	Full retooling required	Format change in 2–4 hours

> **DECISION POINT**
>
> Do you have a confirmed cell format and product roadmap for the next 3 years? If yes, fixed-format. If your product roadmap is still open — particularly if you are watching the 587Ah / 628Ah large-format cell transition — build in mixed-flow capability now. Retrofitting a fixed line for a new cell format is expensive and slow.

5. Decision 4: Quality System — EOL Testing & Compliance

IEC 62619 is the baseline safety standard for lithium battery manufacturing. CE certification under Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC covers the assembly line equipment. For EU market batteries: EU Battery Regulation traceability requirements apply from 2027. These are three separate certification tracks — don't conflate them.

Standard	Scope	Timeline	Applies To
IEC 62619	Battery safety (cell + pack level)	3–6 months from sample submission	Battery product
CE (2006/42/EC)	Machinery safety (assembly line equipment)	Concurrent with line build	Assembly line equipment
EU Battery Regulation (2023/1542)	Traceability, carbon footprint, recycled content	Phase-in 2027–2031	Battery product + MES system
UL 9540	ESS system safety (US market)	4–8 months	Battery product (US)

> **TIMELINE RISK**
> IEC 62619 certification for a new battery product takes 3–6 months from sample submission. If your factory timeline assumes certification happens in parallel with line commissioning, build in a 3-month buffer — labs are currently backlogged.

6. Decision 5: MES & Data Architecture — EU Battery Passport Readiness

Design MES traceability for EU Battery Passport compliance from the start — retrofitting costs 3–5× more than building it in. The minimum data model:

MES Data Chain

```
Cell serial → Process parameters per station → EOL test results → PACK serial
All linked and exportable in structured JSON format
```

Data Layer	Required Fields	Source Station
Cell-level	Serial number, OCV, IR, marking grade, film batch	Stations 1–3
Module-level	Module serial, cell serials, weld parameters, compression force, band tension	Stations 4–7
Pack-level	Pack serial, module serials, EOL test results, BMS firmware version	Stations 8–9
Export format	JSON per EU 2023/1542 Annex XIII schema	MES server

7. Decision 6: Building & Infrastructure — Factory Spec

Minimum floor area for a 1 GWh/year single-line BESS factory: 3,000–5,000 m^2 production space. Ceiling height ≥ 6 m. Power supply ≥ 500 kW per line (EOL test stations drive peak load). Compressed air ≥ 6 bar, laser shielding gas (Ar/N_2), and cooling water for laser chillers are all line-day-one requirements.

Infrastructure Item	Specification
Production floor area	3,000–5,000 m^2 per line (1 GWh)
Ceiling height	≥ 6 m (AGV overhead clearance + crane)
Floor flatness	≤ 3 mm/2 m (laser welding requires level mounting)
Power supply	≥ 500 kW per line (EOL test peak load)
Compressed air	≥ 6 bar, clean/dry (ISO 8573 Class 4)
Laser gas	Ar (welding shielding), N_2 (purge) — bottled or bulk
Cooling water	Chiller capacity per laser source spec (typically 15–25 kW per laser)
HVAC	Temperature 20–25 °C, humidity 40–60% (cell storage + production)
Fire suppression	Per local code + gas detection (VOC sensors at cell storage)
Crane	5–10 ton overhead crane for equipment installation

8. Decision 7: Commissioning — Contract Structure & Timeline

"Overseas commissioning included" is not a contract term — it's a starting point for negotiation. Specify: number of on-site engineers, minimum on-site days, remote support SLA post-departure, spare parts kit included in delivery, and CAT (commissioning acceptance test) pass criteria tied to payment milestone.

Phase	Duration	Payment Milestone
China FAT (factory acceptance test)	5–10 days	70% payment release
Shipping & customs	15–30 days	—
Overseas install & alignment	10–20 days	—
Overseas CAT	15–30 days	20% payment release
Operator training & ramp	20–40 days	10% payment release
Total: order to first production	**6–8 months (greenfield)**	

> **FAT RECOMMENDATION**

> Specify FAT acceptance criteria in the contract: continuous run duration (min 4–8 hours at target takt), OEE measurement period (3 consecutive days at ≥ 80%), yield rate (first-pass yield ≥ your spec), MES data format verified end-to-end, and MTBF (min 4 hours). A FAT that only powers on the equipment is not a FAT.

9. Cost Model: 1 GWh/year BESS Factory

The following cost model is a rough-order-of-magnitude (ROM) estimate for a 1 GWh/year greenfield BESS assembly factory using 280Ah LFP cells, 2-shift operation, in a Southeast Asian or Eastern European location. Actual costs vary by location, automation level, and supplier.

Cost Category	ROM Range (USD)	Notes
Assembly line equipment	$2.5M – $5.0M	Single line, semi-auto to full-auto
Laser welding systems	$0.5M – $1.2M	Dual-station fiber laser, inline monitoring
EOL testing equipment	$0.3M – $0.8M	6-test station, helium leak tester
MES software + integration	$0.2M – $0.5M	EU Battery Passport compliant
Building & infrastructure	$1.5M – $4.0M	3,000–5,000 m^2, HVAC, electrical
Installation & commissioning	$0.3M – $0.8M	On-site engineers, training, CAT
Spare parts (year 1)	$0.1M – $0.2M	Critical spares kit
Total ROM	**$5.5M – $12.5M**	Excluding land acquisition

> **COST DRIVER**
> The largest cost variable is automation level. A semi-auto line at $5.5M produces the same GWh as a full-auto line at $12.5M — but requires 3× the operators and achieves 65% OEE vs 85% OEE. The payback period for full automation is typically 18–30 months at developed-country labor rates.

10. Common Planning Mistakes

Mistake	Impact	Correct Approach
Planning at 90%+ OEE	Line cannot meet output targets; 6-month delay to recovery	Plan at 80% OEE for year 1; design capacity at 85%
Single cell supplier lock-in	Supply disruption halts production; no alternative source	Design fixtures for VDA-compatible multi-source from day one
MES as "Phase 2" after production start	Retrofit costs 3–5× more; EU Battery Passport non-compliant	Specify MES in the original RFQ; integrate during commissioning
Underestimating EOL test takt time	EOL becomes bottleneck; line OEE drops to 60–70%	Calculate EOL takt separately; plan parallel stations for >5 PACK/hr
No FAT acceptance criteria in contract	Equipment arrives non-functional; 3-month delay for fixes	Specify 8-hour continuous run, OEE measurement, yield validation

Mistake	Impact	Correct Approach
Ignoring floor flatness	Laser welding alignment issues; equipment vibration	Specify ≤ 3 mm/2 m flatness before equipment installation
Commissioning "included" without SLA	Engineers leave after 5 days; no remote support	Specify engineer count, on-site days, remote SLA, spare parts kit

11. Factory Planning Checklist

Pre-RFQ (3–6 months before order)

- ☐ Annual GWh target confirmed with sales/market team
- ☐ Cell format selected (280Ah / 314Ah / 587Ah)
- ☐ Cell supplier(s) identified, VDA compatibility verified
- ☐ Module and pack drawings finalized
- ☐ Factory layout and floor flatness verified
- ☐ Power, air, gas, water utilities confirmed
- ☐ EOL test items and thresholds defined
- ☐ MES data model specified (EU Battery Passport)
- ☐ Automation level decision per station
- ☐ FAT acceptance criteria drafted

Post-Order (during build)

- ☐ FAT attendance scheduled with engineering team
- ☐ FAT checklist: 8-hr run, OEE, yield, MES data test
- ☐ Shipping and customs plan confirmed
- ☐ Installation team identified (local + supplier)
- ☐ CAT criteria confirmed in writing
- ☐ Operator training plan drafted
- ☐ Spare parts list reviewed and ordered
- ☐ Remote support VPN/TeamViewer setup
- ☐ IEC 62619 certification samples submitted
- ☐ CE certification for equipment in progress

Commissioning (on-site)

- ☐ Equipment alignment verified (laser, stacking, EOL)
- ☐ Dry cycle run: 4 hours continuous, zero jams
- ☐ Wet cycle run: first production PACK completed
- ☐ EOL test results validated against specification
- ☐ MES data chain verified end-to-end
- ☐ OEE measured over 3 consecutive days
- ☐ Operator training completed (min 2 operators per station)
- ☐ CAT report signed by both parties

Post-Commissioning (first 90 days)

- ☐ OEE trended weekly (target: 70% → 80% by day 90)
- ☐ Yield trended daily (target: ≥ 99% by day 30)
- ☐ Weekly quality review with supplier remote support
- ☐ Spare parts consumption tracked
- ☐ Parameter drift monitoring (welding, compression, EOL)
- ☐ First field return root-cause analysis (if any)
- ☐ IEC 62619 certification received
- ☐ EU Battery Passport data export tested

About Huiyao Laser

Huiyao Laser Technology (Luoyang) Co., Ltd. designs and manufactures automated BESS and EV battery pack assembly lines, laser welding systems, EOL testing equipment, and MES-integrated traceability solutions. With 30+ commissioned ESS lines across Europe, North America, and Asia, our application engineering team provides factory planning support from concept to first production PACK.

hy@huiyaolaser.com | www.huiyaolaser.com/contact.html | BESS Factory Planning Guide

DOCUMENT VERSION HISTORY

Rev.	Date	Author	Changes
A	2026-08-14	Application Engineering Team	Initial release. Content synthesized from BESS Factory Planning Guide, blog articles on BESS line decisions (W25), overseas deployment (W16), AGV mixed-flow (W14), RFQ evaluation (W16), and 30+ factory project data (2023–2026).

Production Log: Source: HTML → PDF via Playwright (Chromium headless). Template: HY-WP-001 Rev. A. Content derived from *bess-factory-planning-guide* solution page, blog articles *bess-pack-assembly-line-decisions-before-ordering-2026*, *building-battery-pack-factory-overseas-mistakes*, *battery-pack-line-overseas-installation-timeline-2026*, *battery-pack-line-rfq-evaluation-scoring-framework-2026*, and *Mixed-Flow-vs-Dedicated-Battery-Pack-Lines*. Reviewed by: Application Engineering Team. Next scheduled review: 2027-08 or upon new IEC/EU regulation update.